

- Dowty, E. (1997). *ATOMS*. Version 4.0. A Computer Program for Displaying Atomic Structures. Shape Software, 521 Hidden Valley Road, Kingsport, TN 37663, USA; *Shape Software*, <http://www.tricon.net/comm/shape>
- Gao, Q., Chen, J., Li, S., Xu, R., Thomas, J. M., Light, M. & Hursthouse, M. B. (1996). *J. Solid State Chem.* **127**, 145–150.
- Jones, R. H., Thomas, J. M., Xu, R., Huo, Q., Xu, Y., Cheetham, A. K. & Bieker, D. (1990). *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.* pp. 1170–1172.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1988). *MSCI/AF C Diffractometer Control Software*. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). *Acta Cryst.* **A24**, 351–359.
- Oliver, S., Kuperman, A., Lough, A. & Ozin, G. A. (1996a). *Chem. Mater.* **8**, 2391–2398.
- Oliver, S., Kuperman, A., Lough, A. & Ozin, G. A. (1996b). *Inorg. Chem.* **35**, 6373–6380.
- Pluth, J. J., Smith, J. V., Bennett, J. M. & Cohen, J. P. (1984). *Acta Cryst.* **C40**, 2008–2011.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). *SHELXL97. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures*. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Wang, T., Yu, L. & Pang, W. (1990). *J. Solid State Chem.* **89**, 392–395.
- Williams, I. D., Yu, J., Gao, Q., Chen, J. & Xu, R. (1997). *Chem. Commun.* pp. 1273–1274.
- Wilson, S. T., Lok, B. M., Messina, C. A., Cannon, T. R. & Flanigen, E. M. (1982). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **104**, 1146–1147.

Acta Cryst. (1999). **C55**, 1617–1620

Bis(cyclohexane-1,3-dionato)-C²,O¹-(ethylenediamine-*N,N'*)platinum(II) trihydrate and bis(cyclohexane-1,3-dionato)-C²,O¹-(*N,N*-dimethylethylenediamine-*N,N'*)platinum(II)

HIDETAKA YUGE AND TAKESHI KEN MIYAMOTO

Department of Chemistry, School of Science, Kitasato University, Kitasato, Sagami-hara, Kanagawa 228-8555, Japan. E-mail: yuge@kitasato-u.ac.jp


(Received 5 May 1999; accepted 14 June 1999)

Abstract


In each of the title organoplatinum(II) compounds, [Pt(C₆H₇O₂)₂(C₂H₈N₂)]·3H₂O, (1), and [Pt(C₆H₇O₂)₂(C₄H₁₂N₂)] (2), one 1,3-cyclohexanedione monoanion is bound to the Pt atom at the C^{sp³} atom and the other is bound at the enolate O atom. The Pt—C bond lengths in (1) and (2) are 2.123 (4) and 2.108 (5) Å, respectively. The shorter Pt—C distance in (2) may be due to a *trans* influence of the NMe₂ moiety of the *N,N*-dimethylethylenediamine ligand.

Comment

The reactions of bis(acetylacetonato)platinum(II) compounds with certain bases in organic solvents have been investigated previously. By means of vibrational and NMR spectroscopy, the acetylacetonate anions in the products were found to be an *O,O'*-bonded chelate or a central-C-bonded form (Ito *et al.*, 1976). We have recently reported the structure of [Pt(*trans*-1*R*,2*R*-dach)(acac-*O,O'*)](acac) (dach is diaminocyclohexane and Hacac is acetylacetonate), crystallized from an aqueous solution containing a 1:2 ratio of [Pt(*trans*-1*R*,2*R*-dach)(OH)₂] and Hacac (Yuge & Miyamoto, 1997). By using 1,3-cyclohexanedione (Hchdo) in place of Hacac to be a potential monodentate ligand, the title compounds, (1) and (2), have been synthesized and their crystal structures are reported here.



(1)



(2)

In (1) and (2), two independent chdo[−] anions behave as monodentate ligands in different ways (Figs. 1 and 2); one coordinates to the Pt atom at the 2-C atom and the other is bound at the O atom as an enolate with a *Z* conformation. The donor C atoms of the former appear to be *sp*³ hybridized because of their nearly tetrahedral environment. In the latter, the enolate O21—C21 bond lengths of 1.290 (4) Å in (1) and 1.290 (6) Å in (2) are apparently longer than the carbonyl O23=C23 of 1.256 (5) Å in (1) and 1.243 (7) Å in (2). The Pt—O21—C21=C22 torsion angles and the dihedral angles between the enolate ligands and the square planes about the Pt atoms are −10.2 (6) and 63.3 (2)° in (1), and −6.2 (8) and 88.2 (3)° in (2).

The coordination geometry about the Pt atom is similar to that of [Pt(*L*(asc-C²,O⁵))]·3H₂O, (3) and (4) (*L* is *cis*- and *trans*-1*R*,2*R*-dach, and asc^{2−} is the L-ascorbate dianion; Hollis *et al.*, 1985; Yuge & Miyamoto, 1996), where the Pt atom is coordinated by a chelating diamine and by the asc^{2−} anion at the O and C atoms. The




Fig. 1. The asymmetric unit of (1), showing the atom-numbering scheme and displacement ellipsoids at the 50% probability level. One disordered conformer of the enolate chdo⁻ ligand is drawn with an open ellipsoid and bonds. H atoms are shown as spheres of arbitrary radii.




Fig. 2. The molecule of (2), showing the atom-numbering scheme. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level and H atoms are shown as spheres of arbitrary radii.

bond lengths about the Pt atoms in the four complexes are also comparable. In (1) and (2), the fact that the Pt—N1 bond lengths are longer than the Pt—N2 bond lengths suggests the influence of the C12 atoms at the *trans* positions, as observed in (3) and (4); the Pt—N1 and Pt—N2 bond lengths are 2.070 (3) and 2.025 (3) Å in (1), 2.124 (5) and 2.019 (5) Å in (2), 2.100 (6) and 2.047 (8) Å in (3), and 2.093 (5) and 2.033 (5) Å in (4), respectively. The steric repulsion of the methyl groups of the dmen ligand (dmen is *N,N*-dimethylethylenediamine) in (2) may give rise to the slightly longer Pt—N1 [2.124 (5) Å] and the shorter Pt—C12 [2.108 (5) Å] distances.

In (1), the [Pt(en)(chdo)₂] molecule is surrounded by three crystallographically independent water molecules

(Fig. 3), which form a two-dimensional hydrogen-bond network with the carbonyl O11, O13 and O23 atoms of the chdo⁻ anions and with the amino N1 and N2 atoms of the en ligand (en is ethylenediamine) (Table 2), while a one-dimensional hydrogen-bonding chain is formed with the carbonyl O23 and amino N2 atoms along the *c* axis in (2) (Table 4).





Fig. 3. The packing diagram of (1), showing the hydrogen-bond network with dashed lines. H and disordered C atoms have been omitted for clarity.

The ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of (1) in D₂O are consistent with the molecular structure in the crystalline state. The spectra of (2) in D₂O are very similar to those of (1), aside from signals due to the methyl groups of the dmen ligand. Any signals of other possible isomers were not found in the spectra of the mother solutions of (1) and (2). Accordingly, no compounds involving two or more Pt—C bonds would be formed, and the Pt—C bond in (2) would be selectively formed at the *trans* position of the dimethylamino group.

Experimental

For (1), Hchdo (0.225 g, 2.01 mmol) dissolved in water (10 ml) was added to a solution (100 ml) of [Pt(en)(OH)₂], prepared by passing an aqueous solution of [Pt(en)(ONO₂)₂] (0.381 g, 1.00 mmol) through a column packed with anion-exchange resin. After standing overnight at room temperature, rotary evaporation to *ca* 5 ml followed by addition of acetone (20 ml) gave a pale-yellow precipitate of (1) (0.339 g, 64% yield). Analysis found: C 31.39, H 5.21, N 5.23%; calculated for C₁₄H₂₈N₂O₇Pt: C 31.64, H 5.31, N 5.27%; ¹H NMR (D₂O, 300 MHz, δ p.p.m.): 4.21, 6.00 (2s, 2H, CH), 1.7–2.6 (*m*, 16H, CH₂); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (D₂O, 75.5 MHz, δ p.p.m.): 217.2 (2C, C=O), 202.8 (C=O), 196.0 (C—O), 104.7 (CH),

49.5, 48.8 [2C, CH₂(en)], 46.3 (CH), 36.6 [2C, CH₂(chdo⁻)], 34.7, 33.9, 21.2, 18.1 [4C, CH₂(chdo⁻)]. For (2), an aqueous solution (5 ml) containing Hchdo (0.228 g, 2.03 mmol) and [Pt(dmen)(ONO₂)₂] (0.407 g, 1.00 mmol) was treated with a 1,4-dioxane/acetonitrile (1:1 v/v) mixture (20 ml) to obtain (2) (0.192 g, 38% yield). Analysis found: C 37.70, H 5.11, N 5.55%; calculated for C₁₆H₂₆N₂O₄Pt: C 38.02, H 5.18, N 5.54%; ¹H NMR (D₂O, 300 MHz, δ p.p.m.): 4.21, 6.00 (2s, 2H, CH), 1.7–2.6 (m, 16H, CH₂); ¹³C{¹H} NMR (D₂O, 75.5 MHz, δ p.p.m.): 217.7 (2C, C=O), 202.8 (C=O), 194.7 (C—O), 105.7 (CH), 66.1 (2C, CH₃) 50.7, 45.0 [2C, CH₂(en)], 46.1 (CH), 36.7 [2C, CH₂(chdo⁻)], 34.7, 33.9, 21.1, 18.3 [4C, CH₂(chdo⁻)]. Both compounds were recrystallized from water. In the solid state, the isolated compounds were fairly stable for months, even in air, and no changes in the NMR spectra of either compound were observed over a period of at least a week, whereas the yellow aqueous solutions turned brown in a few months.

Compound (1)*Crystal data*

M_r = 531.47

Monoclinic

*P*2₁/*a*

a = 9.152 (1) Å

b = 20.886 (1) Å

c = 9.555 (1) Å

β = 97.57 (1)°

V = 1810.4 (4) Å³

Z = 4

D_x = 1.950 Mg m⁻³

D_m = 1.96 Mg m⁻³

D_m measured by flotation in
CCl₄/CHBr₃

Data collection

Rigaku AFC-7R diffractometer

ω/2θ scans

Absorption correction:

ψ scan (North *et al.*,
1968)

T_{min} = 0.171, *T_{max}* = 0.459

5587 measured reflections

5285 independent reflections

4283 reflections with

I > 2σ(*I*)

Refinement

Refinement on *F*²

R[*F*² > 2σ(*F*²)] = 0.025

wR(*F*²) = 0.058

S = 1.037

5285 reflections

246 parameters

H atoms: see below

w = 1/[σ²(*F_o*²) + (0.0228*P*)²]

where *P* = (*F_o*² + 2*F_c*²)/3

(Δ/σ)_{max} = 0.002

Mo *K*α radiation

λ = 0.71069 Å

Cell parameters from 25
reflections

θ = 16.7–18.6°

μ = 7.787 mm⁻¹

T = 296 (2) K

Rhombohedral plate

0.32 × 0.14 × 0.10 mm

Pale yellow

*R*_{int} = 0.025

θ_{max} = 30°

h = 0 → 12

k = 0 → 29

l = -13 → 13

3 standard reflections

every 150 reflections

intensity decay: 0.8%

Δρ_{max} = 0.88 e Å⁻³

Δρ_{min} = -1.07 e Å⁻³

Extinction correction:

SHELXL97 (Sheldrick,
1997)

Extinction coefficient:

0.0015 (1)

Scattering factors from

International Tables for
Crystallography (Vol. C)


Table 1. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °) for (1)

Pt—N1	2.070 (3)	C11—C12	1.470 (5)
Pt—N2	2.025 (3)	C12—C13	1.474 (5)
Pt—C12	2.123 (4)	O21—C21	1.290 (4)
Pt—O21	2.034 (3)	O23—C23	1.256 (5)
O11—C11	1.240 (4)	C21—C22	1.374 (5)
O13—C13	1.235 (5)	C22—C23	1.407 (5)
C12—Pt—N1	171.2 (1)	Pt—O21—C21	125.0 (2)
C12—Pt—N2	90.0 (1)	O11—C11—C12	122.0 (4)
C12—Pt—O21	93.5 (1)	O13—C13—C12	120.7 (4)
O21—Pt—N1	92.7 (1)	C11—C12—C13	117.1 (3)
O21—Pt—N2	173.3 (1)	O21—C21—C22	125.4 (3)
N1—Pt—N2	83.2 (1)	O23—C23—C22	123.8 (4)
Pt—C12—C11	104.5 (2)	C21—C22—C23	123.2 (4)
Pt—C12—C13	107.1 (2)		
Pt—O21—C21—C22	-10.2 (6)		

Table 2. Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °) for (1)

D—H...A	D—H	H...A	D...A	D—H...A
N1—H1...O3 ⁱ	0.90	2.13	3.014 (5)	169
N1—H2...O3 ⁱⁱ	0.90	2.25	3.042 (5)	147
N2—H7...O11 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.90	2.10	2.940 (4)	154
N2—H8...O23 ^{iv}	0.90	2.02	2.899 (4)	165
O2—H26...O1	0.81 (3)	1.99 (3)	2.800 (7)	176 (7)
O3—H27...O2	0.82 (3)	1.94 (3)	2.756 (6)	175 (5)
O1—H23...O23	0.81 (3)	2.29 (5)	2.996 (6)	145 (7)
O1—H24...O11 ⁱ	0.81 (3)	2.07 (3)	2.872 (5)	170 (8)
O2—H25...O23 ⁱⁱ	0.81 (3)	2.02 (3)	2.817 (5)	170 (7)
O3—H28...O13 ⁱⁱⁱ	0.81 (3)	1.98 (3)	2.786 (5)	170 (6)

Symmetry codes: (i) $\frac{1}{2} - x, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z$; (ii) $1 - x, 1 - y, -z$; (iii) $1 - x, 1 - y, 1 - z$; (iv) $x, y, 1 + z$; (v) $x, y, z - 1$; (vi) $-x, 1 - y, -z$.

Compound (2)*Crystal data*

M_r = 505.48

Orthorhombic

*P*2₁2₁2₁

a = 12.630 (3) Å

b = 14.938 (3) Å

c = 9.276 (1) Å

V = 1750.0 (5) Å³

Z = 4

D_x = 1.919 Mg m⁻³

D_m = 1.91 Mg m⁻³

D_m measured by flotation in
CCl₄/CHBr₃

Data collection

Rigaku AFC-7R diffractometer

ω/2θ scans

Absorption correction:

ψ scan (North *et al.*,
1968)

T_{min} = 0.213, *T_{max}* = 0.448

5478 measured reflections

2884 independent reflections
(plus 2220 Friedel-related
reflections)

Refinement

Refinement on *F*²

R[*F*² > 2σ(*F*²)] = 0.029

wR(*F*²) = 0.068

Mo *K*α radiation

λ = 0.71069 Å

Cell parameters from 25

reflections

θ = 15.6–19.2°

μ = 8.039 mm⁻¹

T = 296 (2) K

Rhombohedral plate

0.25 × 0.10 × 0.10 mm

Yellow

4715 reflections with

I > 2σ(*I*)

*R*_{int} = 0.036

θ_{max} = 30°

h = 0 → 17

k = 0 → 21

l = -13 → 13

3 standard reflections

every 150 reflections

intensity decay: 0.5%

(Δ/σ)_{max} = 0.001

Δρ_{max} = 0.66 e Å⁻³

Δρ_{min} = -0.84 e Å⁻³

$S = 1.021$
 5104 reflections
 208 parameters
 H atoms: see below
 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0272P)^2 + 0.0057P]$
 where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$

Extinction correction: none
 Scattering factors from
*International Tables for
 Crystallography* (Vol. C)
 Absolute structure:
 Flack (1983)
 Flack parameter = 0.040 (12)

Ito, T., Kiriya, T., Nakamura, Y. & Yamamoto, A. (1976). *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **49**, 3257–3264.
 Molecular Structure Corporation (1993a). *MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software*. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
 Molecular Structure Corporation (1993b). *TEXSAN. Single Crystal Structure Analysis Software*. Version 1.6. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
 North, A. T. C., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). *Acta Cryst.* **A24**, 351–359.
 Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). *Acta Cryst.* **A46**, 467–473.
 Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). *SHELXL97. Program for the Refinement of Crystal Structures*. University of Göttingen, Germany.
 Yuge, H. & Miyamoto, T. K. (1996). *Acta Cryst.* **C52**, 3002–3005.
 Yuge, H. & Miyamoto, T. K. (1997). *Acta Cryst.* **C53**, 1816–1819.

Table 3. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °) for (2)

Pt—N1	2.124 (5)	C11—C12	1.487 (10)
Pt—N2	2.019 (5)	C12—C13	1.467 (9)
Pt—C12	2.108 (5)	O21—C21	1.290 (6)
Pt—O21	2.058 (4)	O23—C23	1.243 (7)
O11—C11	1.222 (8)	C21—C22	1.375 (8)
O13—C13	1.220 (8)	C22—C23	1.436 (8)
C12—Pt—N1	173.7 (2)	Pt—O21—C21	120.5 (3)
C12—Pt—N2	89.8 (2)	O11—C11—C12	122.5 (7)
C12—Pt—O21	94.6 (2)	O13—C13—C12	122.3 (7)
O21—Pt—N1	91.5 (2)	C11—C12—C13	115.1 (6)
O21—Pt—N2	175.4 (2)	O21—C21—C22	124.2 (5)
N1—Pt—N2	84.1 (2)	O23—C23—C22	123.1 (6)
Pt—C12—C13	109.5 (4)	C21—C22—C23	123.2 (6)
Pt—C12—C11	104.5 (4)		
Pt—O21—C21—C22	−6.2 (8)		

Table 4. Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °) for (2)

D—H...A	D—H	H...A	D...A	D—H...A
N2—H5...O23 ⁱ	0.90	2.16	2.985 (7)	152
N2—H6...O23 ⁱⁱ	0.90	2.20	3.046 (7)	157

Symmetry codes: (i) $\frac{1}{2} - x, 1 - y, \frac{1}{2} + z$; (ii) $x, y, 1 + z$.

For both compounds, all H atoms other than those of water were included as riding and the positions of the water H atoms in (1) were refined with O—H constrained to 0.82 (3) Å; $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(\text{parent atom})$. A disordered conformation was observed in (1) for the enolate chdo[−] and the site-occupancy factors of C25 and C251 were refined to 0.65 (2) and 0.35 (2), respectively. Each member of the Friedel pairs in (2) was treated as an independent observation in the least-squares refinement.

For both compounds, data collection: *MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software* (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1993a); cell refinement: *MSC/AFC Diffractometer Control Software*; data reduction: *TEXSAN* (Molecular Structure Corporation, 1993b); program(s) used to solve structures: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1990); program(s) used to refine structures: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEPIII* (Burnett & Johnson, 1996); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97*.

The authors thank Mr Takahiro Kishi for his help in the preparative work.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: OB1013). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

- Burnett, M. N. & Johnson, C. K. (1996). *ORTEPIII*. ORNL-6895. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
 Flack, H. D. (1983). *Acta Cryst.* **A39**, 876–881.
 Hollis, L. S., Amundsen, A. R. & Stern, E. W. (1985). *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **107**, 274–276.

Acta Cryst. (1999). **C55**, 1620–1623

Sodium hydrogen *trans*-glutaconate and ammonium hydrogen *trans*-glutaconate

JUN-ICHIRO TAKA† AND SETSUO KASHINO

Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Okayama University, Tsushima, Okayama 700-8530, Japan. E-mail: kashinos@cc.okayama-u.ac.jp

(Received 26 April 1999; accepted 22 June 1999)

Abstract

Sodium hydrogen *trans*-glutaconate (sodium hydrogen *trans*-pent-2-ene-1,5-dioate, Na⁺·C₅H₅O₄[−]), (I), crystallizes in the monoclinic space group *P2₁/n* with $Z = 4$, and ammonium hydrogen *trans*-glutaconate (ammonium hydrogen *trans*-pent-2-ene-1,5-dioate, NH₄⁺·C₅H₅O₄[−]), (II), crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group *Ibam* with $Z = 8$. In both crystals, a non-centrosymmetric O—H...O hydrogen bond is formed between the carboxyl and carboxylate groups of neighboring anions. The Na⁺ cation in (I) is coordinated by six O atoms. There are two symmetry-independent NH₄⁺ cations in (II). The number of hydrogen bonds around each NH₄⁺ cation is four.

Comment

The crystal structures of the title compounds, sodium hydrogen *trans*-glutaconate, (I), and ammonium hydrogen *trans*-glutaconate, (II), have been determined as part of a detailed investigation of H-atom bonding behavior in short asymmetric O—H...O hydrogen bonds in

† Present address: Institute for Protein Research, Osaka University, 3-2 Yamadaoka, Suita, Osaka 565-0871, Japan.